

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,639	07/23/2003	Hiroshi Mizukoshi	S0302T	6890
7590 10/27/2004		EXAMINER		
TAKEUCHI & TAKEUCHI			HEWITT, JAMES M	
1700 Diagonal Road Suite 310			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22314			3679	

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/624,639	MIZUKOSHI, HIROSHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James M Hewitt	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined. In the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day It is apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	January 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-2 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, , , , ,	, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
						

Application/Control Number: 10/624,639

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant is urged to submit any relevant documents that could be considered relevant to the claimed invention(s).

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the numerals should be in parentheses. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

Claims 1-7 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1 line 11, "the rear side" should be replaced with "a rear side".

In claim 1 line 12, "the bore" should be replaced with "a bore".

In claim 1 line 19, the comma should be deleted.

In claim 1 line 22, the comma should be deleted.

In claim 1 line 25, the comma should be deleted.

In claim 2 line 11, "the rear side" should be replaced with "a rear side".

In claim 2 line 12, "the bore" should be replaced with "a bore".

In claim 2 line 19, the comma should be deleted.

In claim 2 line 22, the comma should be deleted.

In claim 2 line 25, the comma should be deleted.

In claim 3 line 11, "the rear side" should be replaced with "a rear side".

In claim 3 line 12, "the bore" should be replaced with "a bore".

Art Unit: 3679

In claim 3 line 16, the comma should be deleted.

In claim 3 line 19, the comma should be deleted.

In claim 6 line 6, "said marking portions" lacks antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 3 lines 22-23, it is unclear as to which direction "the direction of circumference of said outer circumferential surface" defines.

In claim 4 lines 2-3, it is unclear as to which direction "the direction of circumference of the bore peripheral face" defines.

In claim 4 lines 5-6, it is unclear as to which direction "the direction of circumference of said stopper portion" defines.

In claim 5 lines 2-3, it is unclear as to which direction "the direction of circumference of the bore peripheral face of said internally threaded bore" defines.

In claim 5 lines 5-6, it is unclear as to which direction "the direction of circumference of said stopper portion" defines.

In claim 6 lines 2-4, it is unclear how a surface (i.e. the outer

circumferential surface) has a diameter.

Note that in making corrections to address these rejections, Applicant should also correct the specification accordingly and where appropriate.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-2 are objected to but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to overcome the above noted informalities (see *Claim Objections* above).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M Hewitt whose telephone number is 703-305-0552. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 930am-600pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The

Art Unit: 3679

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAMES M. HEWITT SHMARY EXAMINER